

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,314	01/25/2002	Vincent C. Ward	WAR-01US	3825
7590 03/23/2004 RENNER, KENNER, GREIVE, BOBAK, TAYLOR WEBER FIRST NATIONAL TOWER FOURTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44308-1456		3723	40	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/936,314	WARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Eileen P Morgan	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 (	<u>October 2003</u> .				
,	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	esecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the received	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/936,314

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,5, 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hopkins-6,328,638.

Hopkins discloses a method and apparatus for abrasive recovery comprising a slurry intake system with a self-clearing nozzle 268 providing back flow (via 113) to clear out slurry, a separator (120) for recycling finer particles from abrasive particles with one ore more filters (121), a fluidized bed dryer (300) Having heating elements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,4,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopkins in view of Miyata et al.-5,799,643.

. `Application/Control Number: 09/936,314

Art Unit: 3723

Hopkins does not discloses using a "shaker table or vibratory table". However, Miyata et al. teaches separating and filtering out slurry particles for recycling by using a vibratory filter (106). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to use a vibratory filter, as taught by Miyata, in the recycling apparatus disclosed by Hopkins in order to more accurately filter out recyclable particles for reuse. Hopkins discloses a sensor (317) for controlling the bed dryer. To use a thermocouple would have been an obvious design expedient. In addition, it is old and well-known to use brushes or pressing means with filters to prevent clogging and this would within the level of ordinary skill to provide to the filter of Hopkins.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 9 appear allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P Morgan whose telephone number is 703.308.1743. The examiner can normally be reached on Fridays - Work at Home. 2nd Monday off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703.308.2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER . 'Application/Control Number: 09/936,314

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EM March 8, 2004

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER